Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH.—As I suggested, it is only if the facts are brought to the notice of the Government that we can write to the Electricity Board and ask for clarification and ask for action to be taken. It is the Electricity Board that will have to take the necessary action.

Sri U. M. MADAPPA.—I want to know whether putting a question in the Assembly is also a way of bringing to the notice of the Government?

Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH.—The question is put only to elicit information. Unless specifically it is brought to notice, no action can be taken. The question is put only to elicit information. Information is supplied and if the information is incorrect it is for the member to bring further facts to our notice. Further action can be taken if specific facts are brought to notice.

Acquisition of land for the Construction of National Extension Service Blocks in Pavagada Taluk.

Q.—2596. Sri G. N. PUTTANNA (Tumkur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) whether it is a fact that the Municipality of Pavagada has passed resolution to acquire certain land for the construction of buildings for the N.E.S. Block sanctioned in the year 1956 to Pavagada Taluk;

(*b*) if so, the action taken for the construction of the buildings?

A.—Sri RAMAKRISHNA HEGDE (Deputy Minister for Planning and Development).—

(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) The proposal for acquisition of the land was not pursued as the land was considered not suitable. The buildings for N.E.S. block are proposed to be constructed on a separate site to be granted by the District Board at an upset price.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಎಚ್. ಪುಟ್ಟಣ್ಣ.—(*a*) ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗೆ ತಾವು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ "Yes" ಎಂದು. ಆದರೆ (*b*) ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗೆ ಉತ್ತರದಲ್ಲಿ "The proposal for acquisition of the land was not pursued as the land was considered not suitable" ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ. 'Not suitable' ಎಂದರೆ ಅರ್ಥ ವೇನು?

*ಶ್ರೀ ರಾಮಕೃಷ್ಣ ಹೆಗ್ಗಡೆ.—ಅನುಕೂಲವಾಗಿಲ್ಲ ವೆಂದು ಅರ್ಥ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಎಚ್. ಪುಟ್ಟಣ್ಣ.—ಯಾವ ರೀತಿ ಅನುಕೂಲ ವಾಗಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ರಾಮಕೃಷ್ಣ ಹೆಗ್ಗಡೆ.—ಡಿವಿಜನಲ್ ಕಮಿಷನರು ಆಮೇಲೆ ಹೋಗಿ ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆ ಮಾಡಿದಮೇಲೆ ಅವರು ಅಲ್ಲಿ Block Headquartersನ್ನು ಕಟ್ಟುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅದು ಅನುಕೂಲವಾಗಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ತಿಳಿದುಬಂತು. ಅಲ್ಲದೆ ಆ ಸ್ಥಳ ಬೇರೊಬ್ಬರ ಹಕ್ಕಿನಲ್ಲಿದೆಯೆಂದೂ ಮುನಿಸಿಪಾಲಿಟಿಯವರ ಹಕ್ಕಿನಲ್ಲಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದೂ ಗೊತ್ತಾಯಿತು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಎಚ್. ಪುಟ್ಟಣ್ಣ.—ಡಿವಿಜನಲ್ ಕಮಿಷನರು ಹೋಗಿದ್ದರೋ. ಇಲ್ಲವೋ ನನಗೆ ಬೇಡ. ಹೆಲ್ತ್ ಆಫೀಸರೂ, ಲೋಕಲ್ ಆಫೀಸರೂ ಹೋಗಿ ಅದನ್ನು ಸರ್ವಿಫೈ ಮಾಡಿದಮೇಲೆ, ಆ ಪ್ರದೇಶ ಒಬ್ಬ ಪ್ರಮುಖರಿಗೆ, ಒಬ್ಬ ಕಾಂಗ್ರೆಸ್ ಪುಡಾರಿಗೆ ಸೇರಿದ್ದರ ದೆಶೆಯಿಂದ ಅದನ್ನು ಬೇಡವೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆಂಬುದು ಸರಿಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ರಾಮಕೃಷ್ಣ ಹೆಗ್ಗಡೆ.—ಸರಿಯಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಎಚ್. ಪುಟ್ಟಣ್ಣ.—ತಾವೇ ಹೋಗಿ ಈಗಲೂ ಖುದ್ದು ನೋಡುತ್ತೀರಾ?

ಶ್ರೀ ರಾಮಕೃಷ್ಣ ಹೆಗ್ಗಡೆ.—ಅವಶ್ಯಕತೆಯಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಎಚ್. ಪುಟ್ಟಣ್ಣ.—ಇದರಿಂದಾಗಿ ಆ ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿ ಪಾರ್ಟಿ ಹುಟ್ಟಿ ಆ ಊರು ಹಾಳಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಇದನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸುತ್ತೀರಾ?

ಶ್ರೀ ರಾಮಕೃಷ್ಣ ಹೆಗ್ಗಡೆ.—ವಿಚಾರಿಸುತ್ತೇವೆ.

Sri C. K. RAJAIAH SETTY.—The Hon'ble The Deputy Minister was pleased to say that the site belongs to private party and so it is not suitable; is it not that the question of acquisition arises only if the site belongs to private parties?

Sri RAMAKRISHNA HEGDE.—That is not the only reason. The other reason was that it was not suitable for the erection of buildings. According to the information I have here, it is stated to be very close to the electrical colony.

Sri C. K. RAJAIAH SETTY.—Is it not a fact that in Chicknayakanahalli the site that is selected is just opposite to the electrical colony and quarters that are under construction.

Sri RAMAKRISHNA HEGDE.—I do not know that.

Sri H. V. KOUJALGI.—May I know who decided that this site is not suitable?

Sri RAMAKRISHNA HEGDE.—The Divisional Commissioner.

Sri C. K. RAJAIAH SETTY.—Is there a report to that effect?

Sri RAMAKRISHNA HEGDE.—There is.

Sri C. K. RAJAIAH SETTY.—Will it be placed on the Table of the House?

Sri RAMAKRISHNA HEGDE.—I do not think it is necessary.

Sri G. N. PUTTANNA.—Is his order final, Sir?

Sri RAMAKRISHNA HEGDE.—It is his opinion.

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—I would like to know at whose instance the municipality started taking proceedings to acquire this land?

Sri RAMAKRISHNA HEGDE.—I am not aware of that, Sir. I may also tell the Hon'ble Members that recently the Block Advisory Committee met and decided that this particular spot was not suitable for this purpose and certain new areas should be acquired.

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—Was this plot inspected by the Municipality before taking acquisition proceedings?

Sri RAMAKRISHNA HEGDE.—I do not know that.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—The reply of the Hon'ble Minister was that the Advisory Committee met recently and decided that the site was not suitable. Before the site was finally decided, why did they not obtain the opinion of the Advisory Committee at the very first instance and who is the officer that proposed it and with whose consent?

Sri RAMAKRISHNA HEGDE.—Sir, ordinarily Government does not interfere in such matters. It is left to the officers concerned and the Block-Advisory Committee to select proper site for the construction of buildings. When this proposal was there, the municipality offered that it would acquire certain area and hand it over to the block officers for construction of buildings. Later on, after verification, it was stated to belong to a private individual and it was also found that it was not suitable for the construction of these buildings and therefore the Block Advisory Committee met again and it decided that this site was not suitable and new areas might be acquired.

Sri C. K. RAJAIAH SETTY.—Is it not a fact that the ex-Revenue Minister Sri Channabasappa had inspected the spot and had given a final report saying that it was suitable?

Sri RAMAKRISHNA HEGDE.—I am not aware of that.

Sri G. N. PUTTANNA.—Is the Government aware that all the local officers and sanitary authorities had agreed that this particular spot was suitable for the purpose and that by the interference of some *pudari* this was cancelled?

Sri RAMAKRISHNA HEGDE.—I refute the latter part of the question Sir, put by my Hon'ble friend and as regards, the former, I am not aware of it.

ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಕೆ. ಎಸ್. ನಾಗರತ್ನಮ್ಮ.—ಬ್ಲಾಕ್ ಅಡ್ವೈಸರಿ ಕಮಿಟಿಯೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದಿರಿ. ಇದು Re-constitute ಆದ ಕಮಿಟಿಯೋ ಅಥವಾ ಹಿಂದಿನ ಕಮಿಟಿಯೋ?

ಶ್ರೀ ರಾಮಕೃಷ್ಣ ಹೆಗ್ಗಡೆ.—ಈ ತೀರ್ಮಾನ 31ನೆಯ ಡಿಸೆಂಬರ್ 1957ನೆಯ ತಾರೀಖಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಹೇರಿದ ಬ್ಲಾಕ್ ಅಡ್ವೈಸರಿ ಕಮಿಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಆಗಿದೆ. ಇದು ಹಿಂದಿನ ಕಮಿಟಿಯೆಂದು ಹೇಳಬಹುದು.

ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಕೆ. ಎಸ್. ನಾಗರತ್ನಮ್ಮ.—ತಾವು ರಾಜಯ್ಯಶೆಟ್ಟರ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗೆ ಉತ್ತರವಾಗಿ ಹಿಂದಿನ ಕಮಿಟಿಯವರು ಒಮ್ಮುಖವಾಗಿ Opinion ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವುದು Records ನಲ್ಲಿ ಇಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದಿರಿ. . . . .

ಶ್ರೀ ರಾಮಕೃಷ್ಣ ಹೆಗ್ಗಡೆ.—ಗೊತ್ತಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಜೆ. ಮುಕ್ಕಣ್ಣಪ್ಪ.—ಈ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಬಂದಾಗ ಯಾವ ಯಾವ ವಿಷಯಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಹಿಂದಿನ ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಏನೇನು ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದೆಯೆಂದು ತಿಳಿದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕಾದದ್ದು ತಮ್ಮ ಕರ್ತವ್ಯ. ಹಿಂದಿನ ಸರ್ಕಾರ opinion ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದರೂ 'ಇಲ್ಲ' ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರೆ. . .

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಕೇಳಿ.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Is it not the duty of the Government to continue whatever is found in the records built up by the previous Government Sir?

ಶ್ರೀ ರಾಮಕೃಷ್ಣ ಹೆಗ್ಗಡೆ.—ನನ್ನ ಕರ್ತವ್ಯ ನನಗೆ ಗೊತ್ತಿದೆ. ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗೆ ಅವಶ್ಯಕವಾದ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಕೊಡುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಸಂಬಂಧ ಪಟ್ಟಿಲ್ಲದ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ದೊರಕಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾರಣವಿಲ್ಲ.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Is it not necessary that the relevant portion of the inspection reports of the previous Ministers on this subject should be borne in mind when such questions are answered?

Sri RAMAKRISHNA HEGDE.—We have looked into the records so far as it is necessary.

12-30 P.M.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—What was the stage of the acquisition proceedings when the Divisional Commissioner was asked to go and inspect the spot and give his opinion ?

Sri RAMAKRISHNA HEGDE.—As far as I am aware no acquisition proceedings were in progress.

Sri H. V. KOUJALGI.—When there are two opinions, one to acquire and one not to acquire, why does the Government say it is not necessary to inspect the site locally ?

Sri RAMAKRISHNA HEGDE.—Sir, I made it quite clear that in such matters, normally, the Government does not interfere. It is the choice of the concerned Block Advisory Committee and the officer to select a suitable site. Therefore, I do not think it is necessary. If the Government feel it necessary, they may further examine the matter.

Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.—I want to know at whose instance the Divisional Commissioner has to go and inspect these sites.

Sri RAMAKRISHNA HEGDE.—I do not know at whose instance. But perhaps he thought it necessary because there were two or three alternative propositions. He, therefore, thought it necessary to go and inspect them personally.

Sri C. K. RAJAIAH SETTY.—Was there any appeal by the Land-owner to the Divisional Commissioner in this regard ?

Sri RAMAKRISHNA HEGDE.—I am not aware.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—May I bring to the notice of the Hon'ble Speaker that it would be better if the Chief Minister who is present here, answers so that we may get satisfactory answers.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I have no power to compel the Chief Minister to reply. In fact, if the Chief Minister were to reply, I would have no objection. But if he refuses to reply what can I do ?

Equation of Posts of District Panchayat Officers.

Q.—2799. Sri M. M. J. SADYOJATHAPPIAH (Kottur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

whether they will take immediate steps to equate the District Panchayat Officers of South Kanara and Bellary with those of Gulbarga, Bidar and Raichur ?

A.—Sri R. CHENNIGARAMAIAH (Minister for Local Self-Government).—

The matter has been taken up and service particulars are being collected.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಂ. ಜೆ. ಸದ್ಯೋಜಾತಪ್ಪಯ್ಯ.—ಬಳ್ಳಾರಿ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟ್ ಪಂಚಾಯತ್ ಆಫೀಸರ ಕೆಲಸವೇನು ?

*ಶ್ರೀ ಆರ್. ಚೆನ್ನಿಗರಾಮಯ್ಯ.—ಪಂಚಾಯತಿ ಆಡಳಿತವನ್ನು ನೋಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಂ. ಜೆ. ಸದ್ಯೋಜಾತಪ್ಪಯ್ಯ.—ಆ ಹುದ್ದೆ ಇದೆಯೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಆರ್. ಚೆನ್ನಿಗರಾಮಯ್ಯ.—ಹುದ್ದೆ ಎಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ಹೋಗುತ್ತದೆ ?

Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.—Is it the intention of the Government to continue these posts of district panchayet officers ?

Sri R. CHENNIGARAMAIAH.—That question will be examined when we take up the introduction of a new uniform law.

Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.—How soon will they be able to do the equation of posts ?

Sri R. CHENNIGARAMAIAH.—Equation has been done just recently.

Output of Gold in Hatti Gold Mines.

Q.—2850. Sri C. K. RAJAIAH SETTY (Chickanayakanahalli).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) the output of gold in Hatti gold mines in the year 1956-57 and in the year 1957-58 upto October end of the year 1957 ;

(*b*) the realisation by sale of gold ;

(*c*) the net profits for the year 1956-57 and upto October 1957 in the year 1957-58 ?